1 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 9 10 LONZO SHEPPARD, 11 Case No.: 1: 24-cv-0078 JLT BAM (PC) 12 Plaintiff. ORDER ADOPTNG FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS. DISMISSING THE 13 v. ACTION WITHOUT PREJUDICE FOR FAILURE TO EXHAUST ADMINISTRATIVE REMEDIES. 14 BRYAN PHILLIPS, AND DIRECTING THE CLERK OF COURT TO **CLOSE THIS CASE** 15 Defendant. (Doc. 13) 16 Lonzo Sheppard initiated this action seeking to hold Warden Bryan Phillips liable for 17 violations of his civil rights at the Substance Abuse Treatment Facility. (Doc. 1.) Plaintiff attached a 18 602 grievance to his complaint, which was received by the Office of Grievance on December 20, 19 20 2023. (Id. at 10.) In addition, the Claimant Grievance Receipt Acknowledgment" indicated the Office of Grievance would complete its review by February 19, 2024. (Id.) However, Plaintiff initiated this 21 action by filing a complaint on January 17, 2024. (Doc. 1.) 22 The magistrate judge issued an order to Plaintiff to show cause why the action should not be 23 24 dismissed for his failure to exhaust administrative remedies prior to filing a complaint. (Doc. 10.) 25 After Plaintiff filed a response (Doc. 11), the magistrate judge found Plaintiff did not identify any documents or make any arguments that demonstrated he exhausted his administrative remedies prior 26 27 to filing his complaint. (Doc. 13 at 3.) Therefore, the magistrate judge recommended the action be 28 dismissed without prejudice. (*Id.*)

Case 1:24-cv-00078-JLT-BAM Document 15 Filed 06/17/24 Page 2 of 2

1	The Court served the Findings and Recommendations on Plaintiff and notified him that any		
2	objections were due within 14 days. (Doc. 13 at 4.) The Court advised him that the "failure to file		
3	objections within the specified time may result in the waiver of the 'rights to challenge the magistrate		
4	judge's factual findings' on appeal." (Id., quoting Wilkerson v. Wheeler, 772 F.3d 834, 838-39 (9th		
5	Cir. 2014).) Plaintiff did not file objections, and the time to do so has passed.		
6	According to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1), this Court performed a <i>de novo</i> review of this case.		
7	Having carefully reviewed the matter, the Court concludes the Findings and Recommendations are		
8	supported by the record and proper analysis. Thus, the Court ORDERS :		
9	1.	The Findings of Recommer	ndations dated May 16, 2024 (Doc. 13) are ADOPTED in
10		full.	
11	2.	The action is DISMISSED	without prejudice.
12	3.	The Clerk of Court is direct	ed to close this case.
13			
14	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
15	Dated:	June 15, 2024	gennifu l. Thurston
16			UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			